









Deltares

Climate resilient road assets the economic aspect

Mark de Bel

13 April 2022

Table of contents

General Methodology

- Risk assessment
- Damage and losses

Economic analysis of road assets in Albania

- Approach
- Risk profile
- Criticality
- Cost Benefit Analysis
- Lessons learned

General Methodology

From vulnerability assessment to action perspective



Hazard and vulnerability



Risk profile road network; Damage and Losses Origin Cost of increased travel time **Damage** ╋ Damage to road assets (repair costs) Losses Cost of increased • Vehicle Loss Hours as a result of traffic jams on segment travel distance Vehicles Loss Hours because of alternative routes Χ Risk \rightarrow AED = repair costs + economic losses Traffic intensity Vulnerability Hazard Exposure Action Impact **Risk profile** perspective assessment assessment assessment assessment **Total losses** Destination

Example; The Netherlands (instability of embankments)



Economic Analysis of risks on the Road Network in Albania



Goal & scope of project

Inform the prioritisation of future climate and seismic resilient investments in primary road assets in Albania

Hazards

- Earthquakes
- Landslides
- Floods

Approach – Risk analysis and action planning

Risk analysis per hazard

- Hazard mapping (example; floods)
- Risk analysis \rightarrow Annual Expected Damages (AED)

Action planning per hazard

- Prioritization of locations
- Criticality
- Cost effectiveness analysis
- B/C ratio

Risk map: Floods

Vulnerability

- Dots indicate culvert and bridge failures (High, Low)
- Based on:

- Modelled discharges
- Design capacities
- Interventions on red locations ~ 20 % of assets



Annual repair costs



Annual economic losses



AED = repair co<u>sts + economic losses</u>



Criticality

Criticality determined during workshop with local stakeholders.

Take into account, importance for:

- International connections
- Industry
- Harbour
- Tourism
- Evacuation



Action plan

Corridor	Length	ength AED (€/km) (km) ('000)	Criticality	Floods		Land slides	
Corridor	(km)			Damage	Intervention	Damage	Intervention
01 Milot - Morine New	104	3,3	42			!	×
02 Shkoder - Puke - Kolsh	126	1,0	24	!	\checkmark	!	×
03 Milot - Shkoder - Muriqan	127	12,8	37	!			
04 Tirana - Durres	32	59,1	53		\checkmark		
05 Durres - Vlore	152	69,0	52			!	
06 Tirana - Elbasan - Pogradec	139	24,9	42		>	ļ	\checkmark
07 Fier - Gjirokaster - Kakavi	128	10,6	37				
08 Gjirokaster - Sarande - Ksamil	58	1,4	39	!			
09 Elbasan - Gramsh	41	0,7	26				
10 Lushnje - Berat - Çorovode	86	4,1	24	!			
11 Rrogozhine - Elbasan	40	0,9	37				
12 Shkoder - Hani i Hotit - Vermos	125	2,3	40	!			
13 Milot - Peshkopi	136	5,3	30	!	\checkmark	ļ	×
14 Vlore - Sarande	131	2,4	39	!	\checkmark	!	×
15 Pogradec - Korce - Kapshtice	69	1,0	45				

!	significant damages
 Image: A second s	Positive B/C ratio
 Image: A second s	Positive B/C ratio under certain conditions
×	Negative B/C ratio

Lessons learned 1

Desktop studies based on **global data** with coarse traffic data (corridor level) can produce useful and objective (strategic) results at a network level

- Results are a first scan at network level
- View input and results in this context
- Strategic assessment, not possible to downscale

Field validation is required for next steps (execution of action plan)

- Are identified locations indeed vulnerable?
- Does cost estimate of measure fit with location?
- Update CBA if needed

Lessons learned 2

Sometimes difficult to find reliable input and data

- Damage functions
- Historical data (for validation)
- Repair costs, downtimes, cost of measures per corridor
- difference between 'official input' and 'realistic input'

National input very important

- Local partners are vital (network, experience and validation)
- Interaction with local stakeholders (e.g. workshops)

Questions

Contact

- www.deltares.nl
 @deltares
- Mark.deBel@deltares.nl @ @deltares

- in linkedin.com/company/deltares
- f facebook.com/deltaresNL

